

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/613,083	07/10/2000	John R. Ehrman	STL9-2000-0055	9437
47069 75	90 11/01/2006		EXAMINER	
KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP			PAULA, CESAR B	
ATTN: IBM54 315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, SUITE 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212			2178	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/613,083	EHRMAN, JOHN R.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CESAR B. PAULA	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin		in the Sunt minution which are in later. In			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as te of the final rejection, even if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exterministry a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:			
<u>.</u>					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				

CESAR B PAULA Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2178 Continuation of 13. Other: The amended abstract has been entered. However, the specification has not been amended to reflect the updated application, or patent numbers of related applications as required by the Quayle action mailed on 7/17/2006.

CESAR PAULA

PRIMARY EXAMINER